

Design and performance evaluation of bearer aggregation method in mobile core network with C/U plane separation

○Shuya Abe, Go Hasegawa, Masayuki Murata
Osaka University

Background 1/2

- Cellular networks have to accommodate massive M2M/IoT communications
- Accommodating massive M2M/IoT communication increases load on mobile core network nodes
 - Signaling procedure to establish per-UE bearers which are logical paths for data packets before UEs start data communication
 - For bearer establishment, various signaling messages propagate between the nodes and are processed on the nodes

6/13/2017 2

Background 2/2

- Various methods for accommodation of massive M2M/IoT terminals
 - server virtualization, C/U plane separation, SDN, etc.
 - Signaling procedure and network slices dedicated to M2M/IoT communication
 - Conveying data in C-plane signaling messages
- These existing methods
 - Can utilize server and network resources more efficiently
 - Can not reduce overhead required for bearer establishment itself
- Existing researches on bearer establishment overhead are based on the number and size of the signaling messages
 - Actually, the load of signaling messages are determined by many factors

6/13/2017 3

Purpose

- Performance evaluation of the mobile core network considering signaling processing load
 - Exploits the number of statements for processing signaling messages
- Bearer aggregation method is proposed and evaluated
 - Shares a bearer by multiple UEs
 - Decreases the load of bearer establishment

6/13/2017 4

Network Model

UE : Terminal accommodated to the mobile core network
 eNodeB : Base station for LTE
 MME : Pillar of signaling procedure for bearer establishment, Mobility management, Authentication, etc.
 SGW/PGW : Anchor point for intra-LTE mobility/ Gateway to external IP networks

6/13/2017

C/U Plane Separation

- Separating SGW/PGW node into C-plane and U-plane nodes and moving C-plane node to the cloud
 - C/U plane separation decreases propagation delay of signaling messages between cloud nodes
 - Server resources can be shared among cloud nodes
 - Additional signaling messages between SGW/PGWc and SGW/PGWd

6/13/2017 6

Bearer Aggregation

- Sharing a bearer by multiple UEs
 - Decreases signaling messages and node processing for establishing per-UE bearers
 - Increases signaling messages and processing for bearer aggregation
- Aggregation Point
 - SGW
 - eNodeB
- Aggregation Timing
 - When UEs attach (connect) to the mobile core network
 - When UEs start communication

6/13/2017

Aggregation Point

- Aggregation at SGW
 - Shares bearers between SGW and PGW (S5/S8 bearer)
 - Requires small modification to the mobile core network
 - S5/S8 bearer is kept established when UE becomes idle
- Aggregation at eNodeB
 - Shares bearers between eNodeB and SGW (S1-u bearer)
 - S5/S8 bearers are also aggregated
 - Requires large modification to the mobile core network
 - Shared S1-u bearer must be kept established until all UEs become idle

6/13/2017

Aggregation Timing 1/2

Pre-determined Aggregation

- A UE is associated with a shared bearer when the UE attaches to the network
- A shared bearer is activated when it is not established
- The data path setting to data plane nodes is necessary for each UE

6/13/2017

Aggregation Timing 2/2

On-demand Aggregation

- A UE is associated with a shared bearer when the UE starts data communication
- Each UE must wait for the communication requests until the number of requests reaches the number of UEs in each group (aggregation level)
- Only one data path setting procedure is necessary when the number of requests reaches aggregation level

6/13/2017

Performance Analysis

- Evaluation metrics
 - Bearer establishment time (T): Execution time required for the signaling procedure
- T is the sum of the following times
 - T_τ : Propagation delay
 - T_t : Processing time
 - T_w : Waiting time when on-demand aggregation

$$T = T_\tau + T_t + T_w$$

6/13/2017

Propagation Delay

- Propagation delay depends on distance between nodes
 - In the cloud environment: small delay
 - Between cloud nodes and E-UTRAN / Transport network: large delay
- The number of propagations of signaling messages is determined by the signaling procedure

6/13/2017

Processing Time

- M/G/1/PS queuing model is exploited

- Average processing time at a node $E[R]$

$$E[R] = \frac{\rho^r E[S^2]}{1 - \rho^2 E[S]} + \frac{1 - \rho^r}{1 - \rho} E[S]$$

Variable in queuing model	Related Parameter in evaluation
λ : Arrival rate (of signaling messages)	# of UEs, # of processing, # of the nodes, UE's communication frequency
$E[S]$: Mean workload (Ave. signaling processing time)	Ave. # of statements, Server resources
ρ : System utilization	$\lambda, E[S]$

6/13/2017 13

Evaluation Results

- Aggregation methods for evaluation combine aggregation point and aggregation timing
- Aggregation level (# of UEs for each shared bearer): 64
- Server resources and propagation delay are determined assuming a nation-wide mobile core network

6/13/2017 14

Effect of Aggregation Point

- Bearer establishment time increases sharply with a certain number of accommodated UEs
- Defined as "network capacity"

- Network capacity further increases by applying a bearer aggregation method
- Aggregation at eNodeB has larger network capacity than that at SGW
 - The number of total bearers in the network is smaller

6/13/2017 15

Effect of Aggregation Timing

- On-demand aggregation (OA) has larger network capacity than Pre-determined
 - With OA, only one data path setting procedure is necessary for each group of UEs
- OA has a large bearer establishment time when the number of UEs is small
 - Caused by waiting time

6/13/2017 16

Discussion

- Recommended combinations of aggregation point and timing is determined depending on the number of UEs and the mobility of UEs
- The amount of modification depends on the aggregation method

UEs' characteristics	Point	Timing	Required modification	Network capacity
high mobility	SGW	Pre-determined	small	low
massive, high mobility	SGW	On-demand	small	medium
low/no mobility	eNodeB	Pre-determined	large	high

6/13/2017 17

Conclusion

- Summary
 - Evaluation of bearer aggregation methods to aggregate multiple M2M/IoT communication
 - Bearer aggregation methods improve the performance of the mobile core network
 - Appropriate aggregation method is determined depending on the characteristics of accommodated UEs
- Future work
 - C/U plane separation at eNodeB
 - Evaluation of U-plane performance
 - Experimental evaluation to support the results

6/13/2017 18

Backup Slide

6/13/2017

19

Propagation Delay

Nodes	Delay
UE – eNodeB	20 msec
eNodeB – SGW/PGWd	7.5 msec
eNodeB – MME	10 msec
SGW/PGWd – SGW/PGWc	10 msec
MME – SGW/PGWc	1 msec
GTP module – SGW/PGWd	1 msec

6/13/2017

20

Server Resources

Node	Server Resources
UE	3,000 statements/sec
eNodeB	1,500 statements/sec
MME	3,000,000 statements/sec
SGW/PGWc	3,000,000 statements/sec
SGW/PGWd	3,000,000 statements/sec
GTP module	600,000 statements/sec

6/13/2017

21