

Osaka University *Advanced Network Architecture Research Group*  
http://www.anarg.jp/

## Adaptive Virtual Network Topology Control in WDM-based Optical Network

Yuki Minami  
Graduate School of Information Science and Technology,  
Osaka Univ.

1

Osaka University

### WDM network and VNT control

- **WDM (Wavelength Division Multiplexing) network**
  - Establish light-paths by wavelength routing
- **VNT (Virtual Network Topology)**
  - Configured by light-paths
  - Accommodate IP traffic
- **VNT control**
  - Configure VNT adaptively
    - Avoid overcrowding
    - Accommodate IP traffic efficiently

2

Osaka University

### Research background and purpose

- **The traffic changes unpredictably**
  - Increasing traffic volume and influence of many services
  - Need to improve adaptation of VNT control
- **Problems of existing VNT control methods**
  - Collect traffic demand matrix information
  - High computational complexity
  - Long control duration
- **VNT control based on attractor selection**
  - We clear the range that our method can be used
  - We evaluate performance of our method by computing
    - ↳ How large traffic changes our method can adapt
    - ↳ What topologies our method can be used in

3

Osaka University

### Attractor selection

- **Model living organism that adapt to unknown changes [1]**
- **Function of gene regulatory network and Metabolic network in a cell**
  - Genes' working driven by deterministic behavior and Noise
    - Adapt to changes of environment

4

Osaka University

### VNT control based on attractor selection

- **Apply attractor selection to VNT control**
  - Use only maximum link utilization to control VNT as collected information
  - Achieve high adaptability

5

Osaka University

### VNT control equation based on attractor selection

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \alpha \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \eta$$

Number of light-paths      Noise  
Growth rate      Deterministic behavior

$x_i$  : number of light-paths in VNT  
 $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  : sigmoid function (having "S" shape function)  
 $\alpha$  : growth rate determined by maximum link utilization (MLU)  
 (link utilization: the amount of traffic passing through a light-path)  
 $\eta$  : noise (random number follows a normal distribution)

6

Osaka University

### Simple example of VNT control based on attractor

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \alpha f(x_i, x)$$

Number of light-paths    Noise

Growth rate    Deterministic behavior

Growth rate:  $\alpha$

Maximum link utilization

●: System state    ✨: Good state

High maximum link utilization → Noise drive system  
→ System search good state randomly

Resolution space

7

Osaka University

### Simulation model

- Physical topology: EON topology (19 nodes 38 bidirectional links)
- Compare with existing heuristic method "Adaptive" which is also developed to achieve adaptability
  - Use estimated traffic demand matrix
  - Control VNT to put link utilization from 0.1 to 0.5
- Traffic demand of each node pair follows a lognormal distribution whose mean is 1 and variance is  $\sigma^2$ 
  - Generate new traffic at 500 minutes

EON topology

8

Osaka University

### Evaluate metric

- Change  $\sigma^2$  to evaluate the adaptability
- Conduct the simulation 100 times for each value of  $\sigma^2$
- Success ratio
  - Set target MLU to 0.5
  - Regard successful when MLU decrease to less than 0.5
- Time until recovery
  - Measure the time from when the traffic change occurs to when VNT control succeeds (exclude the data when failed)

Maximum Link Utilization

Time (minutes)

$\sigma^2 = 2.3$

one typical result

9

Osaka University

### Simulation result – success ratio

- "Attractor" shows higher success ratio in large traffic change than "Adaptive"
  - In "Attractor", success ratio is higher than 80 %
  - In "Adaptive", success ratio is lower than 30 %

Success ratio (%)

Largeness of traffic change ( $\sigma^2$ )

Good performance

Bad performance

$\sigma^2$  is nearly 1 for fitting the observed traffic in real ISPs [\*].

[\*] A. Nucci, A. Sridharan, and N. Taft. "The problem of synthetically generating IP traffic matrices: initial recommendations." ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, vol. 35, pp. 19–32, July 2005.

10

Osaka University

### Simulation result –time until recovery

- The time until recovery is much shorter in Attractor
- The confidence interval is narrower in Attractor
  - Estimation errors occur in Adaptive

Attractor

Average time until recovery (minutes)

Largeness of traffic change ( $\sigma^2$ )

Adaptive

Average time until recovery (minutes)

Largeness of traffic change ( $\sigma^2$ )

11

Osaka University

### Simulation results in other topologies

- Attractor can adapt to large traffic changes in different topologies
  - The performance doesn't depend on the topology form and the topology scale

Abilene topology (11 nodes 14 links)

Success ratio (%)

Largeness of traffic change ( $\sigma^2$ )

Random topology (100 nodes 200 links)

$\sigma^2$	Success ratio (%)
2.0	100
2.1	100
2.2	98
2.3	98
2.4	97

12

Osaka University

### Conclusion and future work

- **Conclusion**
  - We evaluate adaptability against traffic changes
  - Attractor can adapt to larger changes than the existing heuristic method
  - Attractor achieve about one-tenth of control duration compared with the existing heuristic method
  - We confirm the same tendency in different topologies
- **Future work**
  - Investigate automatic parameter setting mechanism
    - E.g., according to degree of traffic changes

13

Osaka University

### Research background and purpose

- **The traffic changes unpredictably**
  - Increasing traffic volume and influence of many services
  - **Need to improve adaptation of VNT control**
- **Problem of existing VNT control methods**
  - Collect traffic demand matrix information
  - High computational complexity
  - Long control duration
- **VNT control based on attractor selection**
  - Clear the range that our method can be used
  - We evaluate performance of our method by computing
    - How large traffic changes our method can adapt
    - How topologies our method can be used for

14

Osaka University

### Simple example of Attractor

Number of light-paths:  $\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \alpha \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \eta$

Growth rate
Deterministic behavior
Noise

Stable state

Low maximum link utilization

High maximum link utilization

state randomly

15

Osaka University

### Research purpose

16

Osaka University

### Evaluation metric

17

Osaka University

### Attractor selection in gene regulatory networks

- **Formulate the generic mechanism[1]**
- **The network is driven by three main factors**
  - Deterministic behavior
  - Noise
  - Growth rate (feedback)

Gene:  $\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \alpha \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \eta_i$

Growth rate
Deterministic behavior
Noise

Equation of Attractor selection

- **When growth rate of the network is small, the network is driven by noise**

[1] C. Furusawa and K. Kaneko, "A generic mechanism for adaptive growth rate regulation," *PLoS Computational Biology*, vol. 4, p. e3, Jan. 2008.

18